



ASI Lobby Corps Meeting 10/17 4:31 pm - 5:30 pm

- I. Call to order
 - a. Meeting began at 4:31 pm
- II. Roll Call
 - a. Sign in sheet attached
- III. Approved of Minutes from 10/3
 - a. Passed – The minutes as distributed were adopted
- IV. Discussion Item from 10/3
 - a. AB 710 (Cervantes) - the cost of attendance bill
 - i. Gov. Newsom vetoed AB 710 — essentially argued that the institutions are already providing the necessary information, but there is a chance the bill can come back next session.
 - ii. Discussion - should we pursue this bill idea/lobby for this idea come January?
 1. Students should receive a disclaimer pertaining the true cost of attendance for different campuses
 2. Will lead to more informed decision on what university/college to attend
 - iii. Should we lobby for this bill idea to come back, or track it?
 1. How can we suggest changes to the bill so that it doesn't get vetoed next legislative session?
 2. More accountability on universities, since bill gives too much flexibility
 3. General consensus on supporting the general idea behind AB 710 come January
 - iv. Alyssa to see where CSSA is on AB 710 for next year
 - b. Further discussion tabled until **Nov. 14th**
- V. Discussion Item
 - a. 4th Year Quantitative Reasoning Requirement
 - i. Chancellor's Office
 1. Students to be more prepared with the extra year
 2. Waiver for students w/ out many class options that meet the new requirement
 3. 2026 is the implementation year for proposal
 - ii. Alyssa gave CSSA perspective as well as other implications (see slides)



- iii. Noah--why him, Christian, Alyssa, & Ethan authored resolution in opposition
 - 1. Not opposed to student success, proposal however will do more harm than good
 - 2. 2026 too soon, not enough time for schools to prepare and make adjustments
 - 3. Negative impact on community colleges, which are currently overburdened
- b. Discussion
 - i. Most members generally opposed
 - ii. Faculty concern: students need to be prepared to pass college classes
 - iii. Top-down and 2026 implementation date
 - iv. Other ways of doing this, for instance, investment in SI or tutoring on campus
 - v. CTA officially opposed

c. Opposition to 4th Year Quantitative Reasoning Requirement: PASSED

VI. Information Item

- a. Who are you meeting with?
- b. Why are you meeting with this person?
- c. What is their position on the issue?
- d. Websites for knowledge
 - i. Leg Info
 - ii. Around the Capitol
 - iii. Senate and Assembly websites
 - iv. Representative's website
- e. Know what to say in advance
- f. Can be in form of TPs/fact sheets
- g. Be prepared for push back on controversial issues or counter-arguments
- h. Everyone should have something to say in each meeting
- i. Take notes
- j. Prepare thank-you note

VII. Discussion Item

- a. Lobby Corps Priorities
 - i. Financial Aid Reform
 - ii. Basic Needs of Students
 - iii. Support for Underrepresented Student Groups

VIII. Announcements



- a. Mary Washington from CSSA will be speaking at 10/31 Lobby Corps meeting
 - b. A brief overview of how to become appointed was also given
- IX. Adjourned at 5:28 PM